REMARKS

Claims 1 to 4 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hohle et al. (C. Hohle, P. Strohiregl, "Bifunctional Cyclosiloxanes with Photorefractive Properties," SPIE Conference on Second-Order Organic Nonlinear Optics II, July 1999, pages 353-358) in view of Couillard et al. (Pub. No. US2005/0011434 A1) for the reasons of record.

In particular, Examiner states that, with regard to Claim 1, Hohle et al. teaches a curable carbazolyl-functional cyclosiloxane having the formula:

wherein R^1 is C_1 to C_{10} hydrocarbyl free of aliphatic unsaturation; R^2 is $-CH_2$ -CHR 3 - or $-CH_2$ -CHR 3 -Y-, wherein Y is a divalent organic group and R^3 is R^1 or -H; Z is a hydrolysable group; m is an integer from 2 to 10; n is 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6; and p is 0 or 1 (see pg. 355, Azo-CSX 3 used). However, Examiner further states that Hohle et al., does not teach having a $SiR^1_pZ_{3-p}$ attached to the R^2 group.

The rejection of claims 1 to 4 is respectfully traversed because the proposed combination of references does not teach or suggest Applicant's curable carbazolyl-functional cyclosiloxane.

The present invention is directed to a curable carbazolyl-functional cyclosiloxane having the formula:

wherein R^1 is C_1 to C_{10} hydrocarbyl free of aliphatic unsaturation; R^2 is $-CH_2$ -CHR³- or - CH₂-CHR³-Y-, wherein Y is a divalent organic group and R^3 is R^1 or -H; Z is a hydrolysable group; m is an integer from 2 to 10; n is 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6; and p is 0 or 1.

On the other hand, Hohle et al. teach a compound, Azo-CSX 3, having the formula:

where n = 3. However, this cyclosiloxane *does not* contain Applicant's siloxane unit having the formula:

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
\operatorname{SiR}^{1} p^{2} & Z_{3-p} \\
\mathbb{R}^{2} & \mathbb{R}^{2} \\
-\operatorname{SiO} & \mathbb{R}^{1}
\end{array}$$

or any portion thereof. Instead Azo-CSX 3 consists of 4 identical siloxane units, each having a carbazolyl group attached to the silicon atom through a divalent -CH₂CH₃CH₃Cup.

Couillard et al. do not remedy the deficiency in the teaching of Hohle et al. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1 and 2, directed to a curable carbazolyl-functional cyclosiloxane, and claims 3 and 4, directed to a silicone composition comprising the curable cyclosiloxane, a condensation catalyst, and an organic solvent, are both novel and nonobvious over Hohle et al. in view of Couillard et al.

Claims 5 and 6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hohle et al. in view of Couillard et al. and further in view of Wu et al. (Pub. No. US2005/0040392 A1) and further in view of Kitano et al. (Pub. No. US2003/0211358 A1) for the reasons of record.

The rejection of claims 5 and 6 is respectfully traversed because none of the references, alone or in combination, teach or suggest Applicant's organic light-emitting diode comprising:

a substrate having a first opposing surface and a second opposing surface;

a first electrode layer overlying the first opposing surface;

a light-emitting element overlying the first electrode layer, the light emitting element comprising

a hole-transport layer and

an electron-transport layer, wherein the hole-transport layer and the electron-transport layer lie directly on one another, and one of the hole-transport layer and the electron-transport layer comprises a carbazolyl-functional polysiloxane selected from

a cured carbazolyl-functional polysiloxane prepared by curing a silicone composition comprising (A) at least one curable carbazolyl-functional cyclosiloxane having the formula:

wherein R^1 is C_1 to C_{10} hydrocarbyl free of aliphatic unsaturation, R^2 is $-CH_2$ - CHR^3 or $-CH_2$ - CHR^3 -Y-, wherein Y is a divalent organic group and R^3 is R^1 or -H, Z is a
hydrolysable group, m is an integer from 2 to 10, n is 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6, and p is 0 or 1, (B) a
condensation catalyst, and (C) an organic solvent, and at least one carbazolyl-functional
cyclosiloxane having the formula:

wherein R^1 is C_1 to C_{10} hydrocarbyl free of aliphatic unsaturation, m is an integer from 2 to 10, and n is 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6; and

a second electrode layer overlying the light-emitting element.

For the reasons stated above regarding claims 1 and 3, Hohle et al. and Couillard et al. do not teach or suggest Applicant's curable carbazolyl-functional cyclosiloxane or silicone composition comprising the cyclosiloxane.

Furthermore, Kitano et al. do not teach or suggest using either a curable carbazolyl-functional cyclosiloxane having the formula:

or a "non-curable" carbazolyl-functional cyclosiloxane having the formula:

$$\begin{bmatrix} (H_2)_m \\ \Si-O \\ R^1 \end{bmatrix}_{n+1}$$

as the hole-transport material in an organic light-emitting diode. Instead, Kitano et al., in paragraph [0009], rely on the teaching of Patent Publication WO 9501871, which discloses only a non-curable linear polysiloxane having the formula:

(see page 12, lines 26-35).

Page 6 of 7

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 5 and 6, directed to an organic-light emitting diode, are both novel and nonobvious over Hohle et al. in view of Couillard et al. and

further in view of Wu et al. and further in view of Kitano et al.

Claim 7 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hohle et al.,

Couillard et al., Wu et al., and Kitano et al., as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of

Zhu et al. (Pub. No. US2004/0043313 A1) for the reasons of record.

The rejection of claim 7 is respectfully traversed because, for the reasons stated above

regarding claims 5 and 6, the proposed combination of Hohle et al., Couillard et al., Wu et al.,

and Kitano et al. do not teach or suggest Applicant's organic light-emitting diode. Zhu et al. do not remedy this deficiency. In paragraph [0034], Zhu et al. merely list "carbazole derivatives" as

suitable electron transport compounds. Zhu et al. do not teach or suggest the use of siloxanes of

any kind, let alone carbazolyl-functional cyclosiloxanes, as electron-transport materials.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 7 directed to an organic-light emitting

diode is both novel and nonobyjous over Hohle et al., Couillard et al., Wu et al., Kitano et al.,

and Zhu et al.

You are authorized to charge deposit account 04-1520 for any fees necessary to maintain the pendency of this application. You are further authorized to make any additional copies of this

sheet needed to accomplish the purposes provided for herein and to charge any fee for such

copies to deposit account 04-1520.

Respectfully Submitted,

/Larry A. Milco/ Larry A. Milco, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 41,196 Tel: 989-496-3161

Page 7 of 7